The use of an ovine collagen extracellular matrix dressing in conjunction with negative pressure wound therapy in the management of chronic diabetic foot ulcers.
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Introduction:
The use of negative pressure wound therapy dressings (NPWT) on acute and chronic foot wounds is not only well established, it has become a modern paradigm in the treatment of difficult-to-treat, pervasive wounds. The role of NPWT in promoting healing is based on a compendium of effects included induction of granulation tissue, removal of exudates, decreasing bioburden and maintaining a hydrated healing environment.5,6 Additionally, the application of a collagen dressing over chronic wounds has shown an overall decrease in wound surface in a case series of diabetic foot ulcers.6 A class of several zinc-containing serine proteases including interstitial collagenases, gelatinases, and stromelysins collectively are known as the matrix metalloproteases (MMPs). MMP levels have been shown to be markedly elevated in chronic wounds among a plethora of other pathologic conditions. Here we present ten cases where NPWT was combined in conjunction with ovine collagen extracellular matrix dressing (CECM)* with an overall difference in time to wound closure compared to a retrospective control group in which this dual therapy was not used.

Materials and Methods:
A prospective case-control study was initiated after approval from the institutional review board. Patient selection and enrollment was non-randomized and continuous until the treatment group of ten patients was filled, with cases of NPWT paired with CECM. The control group data was constructed utilizing a retrospective analysis of the last ten patients previously treated with NPWT alone. The goal of the study was to evaluate and compare the overall time to wound closure of both groups.

Results:
The results demonstrated in chronic diabetic foot ulceration an average time to closure of 5.5 weeks in the treatment group using the CECM dressing and 7 weeks in the control group. This shows a mean difference of 10 days in time to wound closure when CECM as added to the regimen with NPWT. There were no adverse events reported.

Conclusion:
In this case series, when compared to NPWT alone, the addition of CECM to NPWT has shown a difference in time to wound closure in instances of long-standing diabetic foot ulcers.

Case Study 1
Diabetic foot 3 weeks status post debridement for infection of left foot
Past medical history:
Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, chronic kidney disease-Stage 3
Wound history:
3 weeks status post excisional debridement of infected dorsal medial foot wound that began as hallmark ulceration and tunneled into the medial mid-foot along the first ray
Previous treatment:
Sharp debridement, wet to dry dressings, double antibiotic ointment, hydrogel, silver alginate dressing
Current treatment:
Weekly debridement with application of CECM and NPWT

Case Study 2
Right diabetic foot ulcer
Past medical history:
Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, hypothyroidism, fibromyalgia, Charcot neuroarthropathy
Wound history:
3 month history of chronic, recurrent plantar ulcer at greater tarsus fault secondary to Charcot collapse
Previous treatment:
Curettage, wet to dry dressing, silver foam dressing, medical grade honey products, and off-loading boot
Current treatment:
Weekly debridement with application of CECM and NPWT

Week 0
Application of CECM dressing
Week 6
Application of NPWT over CECM
Week 7
Application of CECM prior to NPWT
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* Endoform dermal template, Distributed by Hollister Incorporated.
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